

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,809	08/04/2003	Tsutomu Kiyono	116784	4610
. 25944	7590 04/07/2006		EXAMINER	
	RRIDGE, PLC	QIN, JIANCHUN		
P.O. BOX 199 ALEXANDRI	28 A, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2837	
			DATE MAILED, 04/07/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summer	10/632,809	KIYONO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jianchun Qin	2837				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Properties of the properties of the mailing date of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Properties of the properties o	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicati D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ja	2006					
		action is non-final.	•				
3)			secution as to the merits	ie			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	· ·	n parto Quayro, 1000 C.D. 11, 40		•			
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1,3,5,7,8,10,12,14,15,17,19,21 and 2	2 is/are pending in the application	۱.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5)🖂	5) Claim(s) 3,5,7,10,12,14,17,19 and 21 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1, 8, 15 and 22</u> is/are rejected.		•				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
• —	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
.0/	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		,				
٠٠/		armior. Note the attached embe					
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen	ot(s)						
1) 🛛 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	rademark Office		·	·			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Upon further consideration, the allowable subject matter of claims 1, 8 and 15 as indicated in the last Office Action mailed on 10/31/2005 has been withdrawn and replaced by the following office action. Any inconvenience to the Applicant(s) is regretted.

Claim Objection

2. Claim 22 is objected to because of the following minor informalities:

Regarding claim 22, the Applicants are advised that patents are not granted for all discoveries, but only for those, which are specifically provided for in 35 U.S.C. 101. This section requires that the invention be "new and useful" and that it fall within one of the five specified classes of invention, which are:

- 1. Process or method (which may be a process of making something or a process of using something)
- 2. Machine or apparatus,
- 3. Manufacture (article)
- 4. Composition of matter
- 5. An improvement of any of the above

It is suggested to change the phrase "A program for playing" into –A computer-readable medium for storing a program for playing--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/632,809 Page 3

Art Unit: 2837

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Boon (U.S. Pub. No. 20040014513).

Boon teaches a program for playing a music game, wherein the game starts when an initially inputted beating operation signal is received as a start signal in a start acceptance state prior to starting the game (section 0026).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshino et al. in view Duncan et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 4852443).

With respect to claim 1:

Art Unit: 2837

Yoshino et al. teach an input device (10) which outputs a signal by applying a beating input to an annularly-formed input area (12), wherein the input area includes an input sensor (14) branched from a conductive section (18) which transmits a signal as a first transmission path (section 0048), the conductive section being connected to a second transmission path so that a plurality of paths for transmitting a signal from the input sensor are provided for the input device (sections 0048 and 0060).

Yoshino et al. do not mention expressly: said input area includes a plurality of input sensors; said conductive section being connected to at least one bypass member which is a second transmission path.

Duncan et al. teach a percussion detecting device, including: an input area which includes a plurality of input sensors (col. 6, lines 19-39).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Duncan et al. into the invention of Yoshino et al. in order to produce signals from similar inputs at different points of the inputting area (Duncan et al., col. 6, lines 19-32).

The examiner takes official notice that bypass members are well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate bypass members into the invention of Yoshino et al. in order to provide a cost-effective mechanism to transmit signals as desired. The mere application of a known technique to a specific instance by those skilled in the art would have been obvious.

With respect to claims 8 and 15:

Art Unit: 2837

Yoshino et al. do not mention expressly: a game machine for playing a percussion-instrument music game, the game machine including an input device according to claim 1.

It is obvious that the input device taught by the combination of Yoshino et al. and Duncan et al. is generic in terms of functionality and structure for any percussion musical instrument. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the inputting device taught by Yoshino et al. and Duncan et al. into a game machine or a simulated percussion instrument in order to provide a music game machine with additional means for receiving striking input operations to create a more realistic gaming experience. It has been held that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3, 5, 7, 10, 12, 14, 17, 19 and 21 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,809

Art Unit: 2837

Reasons for Allowance

8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 3, 5, 7, 10, 12, 14, 17, 19 and 21 is the inclusion of the limitations that said input device having a planar-shaped first input area in a predetermined region and a second input area annularly formed around a periphery of the first input area, the input device outputting different signals when beating inputs are applied to the first and second input areas, respectively, wherein the first input area includes a sheet-like first input sensor which is disposed over the almost entire surface of the first input area, the first input sensor being divided into a plurality of sections, and wherein the second input area includes a plurality of second input sensors branched from a conductive section which transmits a signal as a first transmission path, the conductive section being connected to at least one bypass member which is a second transmission path so that a plurality of paths for transmitting a signal from the second input sensors are provided for the input device. It is these limitations found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record, which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/632,809

Prior Art Citations

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 1) Tanaka et al. (U. S. Pub. No. 20030061932) is entitled to "Simple electronic musical instrument, player's console and signal processing system incorporated therein".
- 2) Nishimoto et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 20010034014 A1) is entitled to "Physical motion state evaluation apparatus".
 - 3) Yanase (U.S. Pat. No. 6822148) is entitled "Electronic pad".

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments received 01/31/2006 with respect to claim 22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim 22 is rejected as new prior art reference has been found to teach the claimed invention. Detailed response is given in sections 2-3 as set forth above in this Office Action.

Contact Information

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jianchun Qin whose telephone number is (571) 272-5981. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am - 5:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,809

Art Unit: 2837

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Bradley can be reached on (571) 272-2001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JQ / 2006 March 31, 2006 Jianchun Qin Examiner Art Unit 2837

MARLONT. FLETCHER